

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/654,989	09/05/2003	Wen-Yuen Wu	WUWE3005/REF	6693	
23364	7590 05/31/2006		EXAMINER WILSON, JOHN J		
BACON & T	THOMAS, PLLC	-			
625 SLATERS FOURTH FLO	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3732		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/200	DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/654,989	WU, WEN-YUEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John J. Wilson	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	ATION. If you have the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13.	April 2006.				
<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-192.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap ority documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -			

Application/Control Number: 10/654,989

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Callan (5931675). Callan shows an abutment portion 40, implant body portion 20 and conical portion 36 where the outside circumference of the conical portion gets smaller from the bottom to the top as shown. Callan does not show only the abutment portion being inserted into a restoration tooth. It is noted that the restoration tooth has not been positively claimed, and as such is only to inferentially, and the language is merely intended use of the abutment portion with the inferentially claimed tooth. As such, all of the actual claimed structure being shown, the intended use is given no patentable weight. As to claim 10, Callan teaches that the abutment 40 includes a portion 41 that is secured to the body portion by adhesive, column 5, lines 57-63. Adhesively attached elements are held to be integrally connected. As to claim 2, see threads 28. As to claim 4, abutment 40 has a lower portion 41 fastened to the implant body and threads as shown in Fig. 9. As to claim 7, see axial hole as shown in Fig. 9.

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callan (5931675) in view of Morgan et al (6227857). Callan shows the structure as described above, however, does not show a stepped portion between the conical portion and the implantation portion. Morgan shows a stepped portion 32, Fig. 4, to limit epithelical migration. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Callan to include a stepped portion as shown by Morgan in order to limit tissue in growth.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callan (5931675) in view of Wohrle (6174167). Depending on the interpretation of the terminology "integrally formed", Callan may not show this feature. Wohrle teaches that it is well known to use a two-stage process or a one-stage process where the implant and abutment are permanently attached, column 5, lines 23-33. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Callan to include a one piece abutment-implant as taught by Wohrle and as is well known in the art in order to use in a one-state implantation process.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,989

Art Unit: 3732

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The manner in which the implant fits with a restoration tooth is merely intended use with such a tooth, and as such, it is only necessary that the shown structure be capable of being used in that way. It is held that the shown structure is capable of being used with a restoration tooth as claimed depending on the tooth that it is used with and the manner that it is used.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,989

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-4722). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached at 571-272-4720). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> John J. Wilson Primary Examiner

Page 5

Art Unit 3732

jjw May 25, 2006